

Staff Recommendations: AHSC Round 8

Revised week of August 19, 2024
Approved at the August 22, 2024 Strategic Growth Council meeting **Table 1. Projects Recommended for Award**

					Income Restricted		Programs	Transportation	Total AHSC	Total Estimated AHSC GHG Emission Reductions	Disadvantaged Community	
roject Name	Applicants	Project Area Type	Project Jurisdiction U	Inits	Units	Housing Fun	ding Funding	Funding	Award	(MTCO2e)	(DAC)	Final Score Reason selected
	The Related Companies of California, LLC; La Cienega											
	LOMOD, Inc.; Housing Authority of the City of Los						4		4			
veare Parkview *	Angeles (HACLA)	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Los Angeles	105	104	\$ 19,665	859 \$619,56	\$14,992,968	\$35,278,39	5 32,614	Yes	93.66 Score
	Mutual Housing California; Capitol Area Community	T (TOD)				4	4004.00	440.000.70	400 000 -0		.,	00.0
akura *	Development Corporation	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Sacramento	134		· ,						88 Score
entury + Restorative Care Village PSH *	Century Affordable Development, Inc.	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Los Angeles	146	145	\$ 25,000	000 \$575,84	0 \$9,032,400	\$34,608,24	0 19,570	Yes	87 Score
BB BRIDGE Phase I *	Pridge Housing Corneration	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Berkeley	120	110	¢ 24146	400 Ç0E3 60	00 \$14.267.000	\$40.267.00	9 39,676	No	86.66 Score
3B BRIDGE Phase I	Bridge Housing Corporation Century Affordable Development, Inc; City of Long	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	бегкегеу	120	119	\$ 34,146	400 \$853,60	0 \$14,267,999	\$49,267,999	39,070	No	60.00 Score
101 Long Boach Plyd (EVA Union) *	Beach	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Long Beach	153	151	\$ 17,000	000 \$591,39	2 \$6,686,200	\$24,277,59	2 23,511	Yes	85 Score
401 Long Beach Blvd (FKA Union) *	The Michaels Development Company I, L.P.; La	Transit Offented Development (100)	Long beach	155	131	\$ 17,000	000 \$391,33	70,080,200	\$24,277,39.	2 23,311	163	65 SCOIE
	Cienega LOMOD, Inc.; Housing Authority of the City of	:										
rdan Downs Phase S6	Los Angeles (HACLA)	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Los Angeles	100	88	\$ 24,020	000 \$1,018,17	6 \$9,000,000	\$34,038,17	6 14,099	Yes	85 Score
idali Dowlis Filase 30	The Related Companies of California, LLC; San	integrated connectivity Project (ici)	LO3 Aligeies	100	00	۶ <u>۲</u> 4,020	J1,018,17	75,000,000	754,050,17	0 14,033	103	05 3corc
	Francisco Housing Development Corporation; City and											
60 Freelon	County of San Francisco	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	San Francisco	85	84	\$ 29,000	000 \$694,94	4 \$11,467,630	\$41,162,57	48,229	No	85 Score
erryessa TOD	Affirmed Housing Group, Inc.	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	San Jose	195								83.16 Score
, 2000 100	этому, тто			100	100	- 25,000		_	-	_ ,,,,,,,	.,,	33.23 30010
Cerrito Plaza - Parcel A South ("FCD DAS") The Related Companies of California, LLC; City of El Cerrito	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	El Cerrito	70	69	\$ 24,598	570 \$686,50	7 \$13,856,000	\$39,141,07	7 38,129	No	83 Score
99 Ygnacio Valley Road (699 YVR)	Resources for Community Development	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Walnut Creek	93								82 Score
s ignació valicy Road (655 i vit)	Mercy Housing California; City and County of San	Transit Offented Development (100)	valiat cicek	<i>J</i> J	32	Ç 24,733	057 \$010,50	75,002,000	754,072,00	1 7,710	140	02 3core
unnydale Block 7	Francisco	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	San Francisco	89	88	\$ 18,500	000 \$706,60	\$10,538,445	\$29,745,05	3 20,489	No	81.33 Score
innyadic block /			Jan Handisco	03	00	7 10,300	7700,00	Ç10,000, 14 0	Ψ <i>Σ</i> 5,1 τ3,03.	20,403	140	31.33 30010
	Eden Housing, Inc., Black Cultural Zone Community											
esidences at Liberation Park	Development Corporation, City of Oakland	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Oakland	119	118	\$ 35,000	000 \$661,40	\$9,000,000	\$44,661,40	4 5,877	No	81 Council Award
Sidefices at Elberation Fark	Palm Communities, Kingdom Development, Inc., City	integrated connectivity Project (ici)	Cakiana	119	110	7 33,000	J001,40	75,000,000	ÿ++,001,+0·	- 3,011	140	Geographic Goa
alm Villas at State *	of Hemet	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Hemet	109	108	\$ 22,000	000 \$969,36	50 \$12,524,855	\$35,494,21	5 16,563	Yes	77 (Inland SoCal)
ann vinas at state	Pacific Southwest Community Development	integrated connectivity Project (ici)	Hemet	103	100	7 22,000	000 \$303,3C	712,324,033	755,454,21.	3 10,303	103	Geographic Goa
L2 Seagaze	Corporation	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Oceanside	179	177	\$ 35,000	000 \$825,94	8 \$12,900,000	\$48,725,94	8 35,141	No	76 (San Diego)
iz Seagaze	LINC Housing Corporation; Venice Community	integrated connectivity Project (ici)	Occarisiac	173	1//	7 33,000	J023,3-	712,300,000	740,723,340	55,141	140	70 (San Diego)
	Housing Corporation; Social Justice Learning Institute											Priority Population
ankofa Place at Centinela	Inc.; City of Inglewood	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Inglewood	120	118	\$ 29,500	000 \$905,85	6 \$13,391,871	\$43,797,72	7 14,801	Yes	75.33 Requirement (D
inkora i lace at centineia	me, etcy of inglewood	Transit Grieffied Development (100)	ingie wood	120	110	7 25,500	000 \$303,03	γ13,331,071	γ-3,737,72	7 14,001	103	Priority Populati
vanzando San Ysidro	Hitzke Development Corporation	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	San Diego	103	101	\$ 20,200	000 \$774,82	\$12,736,993	\$33,711,81	4 14,756	Yes	72 Requirement (D
vanzanao san Tsiaro	Thizke bevelopment corporation	Transic Grieffica Development (102)	Juli Diego	103	101	7 20,200	φ,, 1,0 <u>2</u>	φ12,730,330	Ψ33,711,01	1 1,730	103	72 Requirement (B
	Hollywood Community Housing Corporation; County		County of Los									Priority Populati
asa de la Luz *	of Los Angeles	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Angeles	95	93	\$ 27,063	951 \$591,05	6 \$10,331,011	\$37,986,01	8 12,385	Yes	69.83 (DAC)
												Priority Populati
rrowhead Grove Phase IV *	National Community Renaissance of California	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	San Bernardino	92	91	\$8,735	,000 \$829,32	0 \$6,282,956	\$15,847,27	6 3,627	Yes	69.66 Requirement (DA
		T (100)				4 00 000	000 4456.66	47.770.400	400 000 -0		.,	60.5.0 !! 4
46 Linden *	AMCAL Multi-Housing, Inc., City of Long Beach	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	Long Beach	100	99	\$ 20,000	000 \$456,60	8 \$7,772,100	\$28,228,70	8 15,462	Yes	69.5 Council Award
	Jamboree Housing Corporation; Freebird											Project Area Typ
ggio Hills *	Development Company LLC	Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA)	Healdsburg	48	47	\$ 15,266	950 \$605,75	50 \$5,238,491	\$21,111,19	1 3,880	No	66 Goal (RIPA)
pire Apartments *	Many Mansions	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Oxnard	88	87	\$ 25,000	000 \$756,60	0 \$5,798,000	\$31,554,60	0 8,479	Yes	65 Council Award
												Project Area Ty _l
vingston B Street *	Self-Help Enterprises	Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA)	Livingston	80	79	\$ 15,000	000 \$522,80	0 \$2,322,593	\$17,845,39	3 9,086	Yes	59 Goal (RIPA)
	Community Housing Improvement Systems and											Project Area Ty
ills Ranch Apartments *	Planning Association, Inc. (CHISPA)	Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA)	King City	40	39	\$ 7,835	442 \$335,45	0 \$3,559,918	\$11,730,81	0 5,039	No	57.5 Goal (RIPA)
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										,
	Palm Communities; City of Red Bluff; Northern Valley											Project Area Ty
llas at Red Bluff *	Catholic Social Service, Inc.	Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA)	Red Bluff	61	60	\$ 13,200	000 \$550,00	0 \$6,395,910	\$20,145,91	0 3,526	No	55.5 Goal (RIPA)
				<u> </u>		, =5,200	- + 200,000	T -/	, -,,	2,220		
											52% of funds	
		TOTAL	20 Jurisdictions	2,524	2 /192	\$ 544,825	,869 \$16,722,52	3 \$228,251,127	\$789,799,51	9 536,242		_
				2,324	2,+03	y J-7,023	,000 Y±0,722,32		71001100101	550,242	attaiaca	

^{*} Funding request reduced due to ineligible costs identified during project review Note: Applicant names have been updated to match the eligible entity name



Table 2. Projects not recommended for Award

Charities Housing Development Corporation of Santa Clara County, A 71 Vista Montana - Phase 1 - San Use Community Corporation Community Project (ICP) Culver City Somitie Senior * Community Revitalization & Development Corporation Community Revitalization Community Revit												Total Estimated		
Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Project Name Name Project Name						Income					Total AHSC	AHSC GHG Emission	Disadvantage	d
Main Anderdable MRDGE Housing Corporation Transis Oriented Development (TOD) South San Francisco 18 157 \$35,000,000 \$1,023,000 \$3,023,840 \$33,340,740 9,398 No 77,33 73,335						Restrict	ted	Pr	ograms	Transportation	Funds	Reductions	Community	
Tack cester Heritage Housing Patriners, City of Napa	Project Name	Applicants	Project Area Type	Project Jurisdiction	Total Units	Units	Н	lousing Funding Fu	ınding	Funding	Requested	(MTCO2e)	(DAC)	Final Score
Mercy Housing California, City and Carunty 1989 Marker Steeler of San Francisco 187 185 25,000,000 588,000 512,300,000 588,160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 588,9160 512,300,000 5188,520 512,300,000 5188,520 518,52	1051 Mission Affordable	BRIDGE Housing Corporation	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	South San Francisco		158	157	\$25,000,000	\$1,023,900	\$9,325,840	\$35,349,740	9,398	B No	79.5
1938 Marker Street 0.5 San Francisco Transit Oriented Development (TOD) San Francisco 187 185 575,000,000 588,046 512,930,000 588,046 512,930,000 588,045 514,049 No 72.65	The Crescent *			Napa		140	88	\$21,955,566	\$719,280	\$7,628,365	\$30,303,211	11,662	2 No	77.33
1949 Market Street 1949 Market Street Street 1949 Market Street Street Street Street Street Street Street 1949 Market Street St		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										12 97 ²		
Hayward Parcel Group 8										<u> </u>			NO	
Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of San Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) San Leandro 481 476 \$30,000,000 \$1,885,200 \$9,679,117 \$41,564,317 17,689 No 71.16	·	·	• • • •			227		<u> </u>				16,449) No	
Ray Fair Apartments Leandro Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) San Leandro 481 476 \$30,000,000 \$1,885,200 \$9,679,117 \$41,564,317 \$17,689 No 71.16	Hayward Parcel Group 8 *	Resources for Community Development	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Hayward and Castro Valley		89	88	\$31,839,733	\$411,711	\$9,330,154	\$41,581,598	7,243	B No	72.66
Charities Housing Development Corporation of Santa Clara County, A 71 Vista Montana - Phase 1 - San 2016 mile Montana - Phase 1 - Phase 1 - Ph		Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of Sar	1											
Corporation of Santa Clara County, A California Non-Profit Public Benefit	Bay Fair Apartments	Leandro	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	San Leandro		481	476	\$30,000,000	\$1,885,200	\$9,679,117	\$41,564,317	17,689) No	71.16
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Charities Housing Development												
Dose Corporation Transit Oriented Development (TOD) San Jose 95 94 \$17,900,000 \$455,038 \$8,352,988 \$26,708,026 17,499 No 70.16		Corporation of Santa Clara County, A												
Community Corporation of Santa Monica, City of Culver City Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Culver City 95 93 \$20,549,488 \$517,400 \$511,500,000 \$32,566,888 9,690 No 70	71 Vista Montana - Phase 1 - San	California Non-Profit Public Benefit												
Jubilo Village * City of Culver City Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Culver City 95 93 \$20,549,488 \$517,400 \$11,500,000 \$32,566,888 9,690 No 70 Delta Community Developers Corporation Community Revitalization & Development Yosemite Senior * Corporation Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Manteca 42 41 \$12,278,984 \$150,000 \$8,115,000 \$20,543,984 5,321 No 63.66 Eden Housing Inc, For the Future Housing Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Santa Cruz 51 50 \$12,500,000 \$617,000 \$8,475,000 \$21,592,000 635 No 60.5 THE RELATED COMPANIES OF CALLFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield	Jose	Corporation	Transit Oriented Development (TOD)	San Jose		95	94	\$17,900,000	\$455,038	\$8,352,988	\$26,708,026	17,499) No	70.16
Delta Community Developers Corporation, Community Revitalization & Development Yosemite Senior * Corporation Co		Community Corporation of Santa Monica,												
Community Revitalization & Development Yosemite Senior * Corporation Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Manteca 42 41 \$12,278,984 \$150,000 \$8,115,000 \$20,543,984 5,321 No 63.66 Eden Housing Inc, For the Future Housing Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz 136 River Street SC Metropolitan Transportation District Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Santa Cruz THE RELATED COMPANIES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Amador Station Phase 1 * Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of	Jubilo Village *	City of Culver City	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Culver City		95	93	\$20,549,488	\$517,400	\$11,500,000	\$32,566,888	9,690) No	70
Yosemite Senior * Corporation Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Manteca 42 41 \$12,278,984 \$150,000 \$20,543,984 5,321 No 63.66 Eden Housing Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz Santa C		Delta Community Developers Corporation	,											
Eden Housing Inc, For the Future Housing Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz 136 River Street SC Metropolitan Transportation District Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Santa Cruz 51 50 \$12,500,000 \$617,000 \$8,475,000 \$21,592,000 635 No 60.5 THE RELATED COMPANIES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Amador Station Phase 1* Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac* County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33		Community Revitalization & Development												
Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz 136 River Street SC Metropolitan Transportation District Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Santa Cruz 51 50 \$12,500,000 \$617,000 \$8,475,000 \$21,592,000 635 No 60.5 THE RELATED COMPANIES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Amador Station Phase 1* Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 \$3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac* County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 \$3,822 Yes \$53.33	Yosemite Senior *	Corporation	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Manteca		42	41	\$12,278,984	\$150,000	\$8,115,000	\$20,543,984	5,323	L No	63.66
136 River Street SC Metropolitan Transportation District Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Santa Cruz 51 50 \$12,500,000 \$617,000 \$8,475,000 \$21,592,000 635 No 60.5 THE RELATED COMPANIES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Amador Station Phase 1* Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of		Eden Housing Inc, For the Future Housing												
THE RELATED COMPANIES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Amador Station Phase 1* Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of		Inc, City of Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz												
CALIFORNIA, LLC, City of Dublin, Amador Station Phase 1* Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of	136 River Street SC	Metropolitan Transportation District	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Santa Cruz		51	50	\$12,500,000	\$617,000	\$8,475,000	\$21,592,000	635	S No	60.5
Amador Station Phase 1 * Affordable Housing Access, Inc. Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Dublin 86 85 \$22,632,000 \$729,500 \$4,518,064 \$27,879,564 3,449 No 60.33 Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Project (ICP) Bakersfield Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of														
Cesar Chavez Foundation, Housing Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of		the contract of the contract o												
Authority of the County of Kern, Kern Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of	Amador Station Phase 1 *	Affordable Housing Access, Inc.	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Dublin		86	85	\$22,632,000	\$729,500	\$4,518,064	\$27,879,564	3,449) No	60.33
Washington Potomac * County Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP) Bakersfield 118 116 \$27,989,161 \$569,564 \$4,410,550 \$32,969,275 3,822 Yes 53.33 Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of		_												
Pacific West Communities, Inc., City of		•												
	Washington Potomac *	·	Integrated Connectivity Project (ICP)	Bakersfield		118	116	\$27,989,161	\$569,564	\$4,410,550	\$32,969,275	3,822	2 Yes	53.33
Nelson Pointe Apartments * Oroville Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA) Oroville 72 71 \$14,000,000 \$613,380 \$2,373,344 \$16,986,724 3,940 No 53														
	Nelson Pointe Apartments *	Oroville	Rural Innovation Project Area (RIPA)	Oroville		72	71	\$14,000,000	\$613,380	\$2,373,344	\$16,986,724	3,940) No	53



Table 3. Applications that withdrew, failed threshold review, or were deemed ineligible

Project Name	Applicants	Project Location	Project Type	Issue(s)	AHSC Funds Requested
			Transit Oriented Development	Withdrew application (awarded funding from a different funding source	
20th Street Apartments	Community Corporation of Santa Monica	Santa Monica	(TOD)	during application period)	\$31,945,800
			Rural Innovation Project Area		
CCF Arvin 4th and Walnut	Cesar Chavez Foundation	Arvin	(RIPA)	Failed threshold	\$18,446,973
			Transit Oriented Development	Failed Threshold, incomplete application. No AHSC application workbook	
Lingk Wink, Port	Susaj Quingthai Roadhouse	Not provided by applicant	(TOD)	submitted, as a result there is not enough information.	\$15,000
			Rural Innovation Project Area		
Maple Meadows II	Self-Help Enterprises	Chowchilla	(RIPA)	Failed threshold	\$15,625,202
			Integrated Connectivity Project		
Merriment Village Apartments	Habitat for Humanity Yuba/Sutter Inc.	Yuba City	(ICP)	Failed threshold	\$39,801,915
			Integrated Connectivity Project		
The Academy	Daniel Bringhurst	Not provided by applicant	(ICP)	Failed Threshold, incomplete application.	\$0
			Transit Oriented Development		
The Residences at Crenshaw Crossing	La Cienega LOMOD, Inc	Los Angeles	(TOD)	Failed threshold	\$48,304,597
				Failed Threshold, incomplete application. No AHSC application workbook	
Wydam Resort	Karen Thomas	Not provided by applicant	Not provided by applicant	submitted, as a result there is not enough information.	Not provided by applicant